

1 **Early evaluation of the Wuhan City travel restrictions in response to the 2019 novel**  
2 **coronavirus outbreak**

3  
4 Huaiyu Tian<sup>1\*†</sup>, Yidan Li<sup>1\*</sup>, Yonghong Liu<sup>1\*</sup>, Moritz Kraemer<sup>2,3,4\*</sup>, Bin Chen<sup>5\*</sup>, Jun Cai<sup>6\*</sup>,  
5 Bingying Li<sup>1</sup>, Bo Xu<sup>6</sup>, Qiqi Yang<sup>1</sup>, Peng Yang<sup>7</sup>, Yujun Cui<sup>8</sup>, Yimeng Song<sup>9</sup>, Pai Zheng<sup>10</sup>, Quanyi  
6 Wang<sup>7</sup>, Ottar Bjornstad<sup>11,12</sup>, Ruifu Yang<sup>8†</sup>, Oliver G. Pybus<sup>2†</sup>, Bryan Grenfell<sup>13,14†</sup>, Christopher  
7 Dye<sup>2†</sup>

8  
9 <sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, College of Global Change and Earth System  
10 Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

11 <sup>2</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

12 <sup>3</sup> Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

13 <sup>4</sup> Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

14 <sup>5</sup> Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, University of California Davis, CA, USA

15 <sup>6</sup> Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Department of Earth System  
16 Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

17 <sup>7</sup> Beijing Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Beijing, China

18 <sup>8</sup> State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and  
19 Epidemiology, Beijing, China

20 <sup>9</sup> Department of Urban Planning and Design, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

21 <sup>10</sup> Department of Occupational and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health,  
22 Peking University, China

23 <sup>11</sup> Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University,  
24 University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

25 <sup>12</sup> Department of Entomology, College of Agricultural Sciences, Pennsylvania State University,  
26 University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

27 <sup>13</sup> Division of International Epidemiology and Population Studies, Fogarty International Center,  
28 National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

29 <sup>14</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.

30  
31 \*These authors contributed equally to this work.

32 †Corresponding author. Email: tianhuaiyu@gmail.com (H.Y.T.); christopher.dye@zoo.ac.uk

33 (C.D.); grenfell@princeton.edu (B.G.); oliver.pybus@zoo.ox.ac.uk (O.G.P.);

34 ruifuyang@gmail.com (R.F.Y.);

35  
36 **Author contributions:** H.T., P.Z., R.F.Y., O.G.P., B.G., C.D. designed the study. B.C. and Y.M.S.  
37 collected and processed the Tencent's LBS data. Y.H.L., B.Y.L., B.X., Q.Q.Y., P.Y., Y.J.C., Q.Y.W.  
38 collected the statistical data. H.Y.T. and J.C. conducted the analyses. M.K., O.B., R.F.Y., O.G.P.,  
39 B.G., and C.D. edited the manuscript. H.T. and Y.D.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and  
40 approved the manuscript.

41

42

43

44

45 **One Sentence Summary-40 characters**

46 The travel shutdown delayed the dispersal of 2019-nCoV infection from Wuhan to other cities in  
47 China

48

49 **Abstract**

50 An ongoing outbreak of a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) was first reported in China and has  
51 spread worldwide. On January 23<sup>rd</sup> 2020 China shut down transit in and out of Wuhan, a major  
52 transport hub and conurbation of 11 million inhabitants, to contain the outbreak. By combining  
53 epidemiological and human mobility data we find that the travel ban slowed the dispersal of nCoV  
54 from Wuhan to other cities in China by 2.91 days (95% CI: 2.54-3.29). This delay provided time  
55 to establish and reinforce other control measures that are essential to halt the epidemic. The  
56 ongoing dissemination of 2019-nCoV provides an opportunity to examine how travel restrictions  
57 impede the spatial dispersal of an emerging infectious disease.

58

59 *Key words:* 2019 novel coronavirus; Wuhan; spatiotemporal transmission; China;

60

61

62

63

64 At end of December 2019, less than a month before Chinese New Year (Spring Festival), a cluster  
65 of pneumonia cases caused by an unknown pathogen were reported in Wuhan City, the largest  
66 transport hub in Central China. A novel coronavirus provisionally named 2019-nCoV<sup>1</sup> has since  
67 been identified as the etiological agent. Human-to-human transmission of 2019-nCoV has been  
68 confirmed<sup>2,3</sup> and by 28<sup>th</sup> January 2020 all provinces in China except Tibet had reported  
69 2019-nCoV infections, and the population at risk of infection in the country stands at >1.2 billion  
70 people. The increasing movement of people for Chinese New Year is expected to spread the virus  
71 further throughout cities in China and elsewhere. To prevent further dissemination of nCoV from  
72 its source, Wuhan prohibited all transport in and out of the city as of 10:00 on 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2020.  
73 To our knowledge, this is the largest quarantine/movement restriction in human history to prevent  
74 infectious disease spread. By 25<sup>th</sup> January, 30 provinces in China had raised their public health  
75 response level to the highest state of emergency (level-1). Here we present an analysis and  
76 quantification of the consequences and importance of the Wuhan travel prohibition on the ongoing  
77 spread of nCoV-2019 across China.

78  
79 Assessment and measurement of the effects of large-scale interventions are crucial for the design  
80 of efficient responses against this and future epidemics. To this end, we collected all city-level  
81 case reports across China and noted the onset time of the first case of nCoV (arrival time) and the  
82 timing of the local response. We analyse these dates together with high-resolution data on human  
83 movement among cities in China, obtained from a large dataset of geolocated mobile phone  
84 records, spanning 2018, from the Tencent network. This dataset describes patterns of human  
85 mobility across China *before* nCoV was discovered.

86  
87 In order to quantify the effect of the Wuhan travel shutdown on nCoV epidemic spread we  
88 analyzed the arrival time of nCoV from Wuhan to each city as a function of geographic distance  
89 (between city centers) and of human movement by air, train, and road (as recorded by Tencent's  
90 location-based services database). Spatial spread of 2019-nCoV (Fig. 1A) was rapid, with 262  
91 cities reporting cases within only 28 days (for comparison, the 2009-H1N1pdm took 132 days to  
92 reach the current extent of nCoV-2019 in China). Most cities with early arrival dates were in  
93 southeast China and tend to exhibit greater mobility and higher population density. The rate at  
94 which cities first reported nCoV peaked on 23 January (the day of the Wuhan travel ban), with 60  
95 reports, after which the spatial dissemination of nCoV slowed.

96  
97 Table 1 shows that the Wuhan travel intervention significantly slowed disease spread. As expected,  
98 the time it took nCoV to arrive in each city increased with distance from Wuhan City, and  
99 decreased with passenger flow from Wuhan. Thus the epidemic arrived sooner in those cities that  
100 had larger population and had more travelers from Wuhan. On average, the Wuhan shutdown  
101 delayed the arrival time of nCoV in other cities by 2.91 days (95% CI: 2.54-3.29 days) (Fig. 1B).  
102 Our results show that >130 cities, covering more than half geographic area and population of  
103 China, benefited from this intervention (Fig. 1C).


104  
105 Our analysis evaluates a unique intervention against an emerging infectious disease – the cessation  
106 of travel from a large, well-connected city in an industrialized country (Fig. 1D). We find that this  
107 intervention was effective in slowing nCoV invasion of new locations. However, other measures

108 need to be reinforced to halt the ongoing epidemic. The Wuhan travel ban provided extra time to  
109 make progress on these responses. In addition to governmental responses, public awareness is  
110 critical for controlling the spread of this novel coronavirus. Early detection of nCoV cases in new  
111 locations in China is needed in the coming weeks and months to prevent other cities becoming  
112 major exporters of nCoV. China is better equipped than in the past to meet this challenge and a  
113 direct reporting system for notifiable epidemic diseases was established in the country after the  
114 2003 SARS outbreak. However, the experience of nCoV in China suggests that urbanization and  
115 the development of modern transport systems increase the urgency of control measures against  
116 emerging infectious diseases, as demonstrated by the faster spatial spread of nCoV than H1N1pdm  
117 in China.

118

119 While emerging data from mainland China on nCoV epidemiology and virus genomics have  
120 generated important insights into the origin, transmissibility, and severity of this unfolding  
121 epidemic <sup>1,4</sup>, many uncertainties remain <sup>5</sup> and important questions remain unanswered. For  
122 example the role of mobility network structure on disease diffusion is unknown, and the degree to  
123 which the delay in spatial spread (due to the Wuhan travel ban) will impact on the final size of the  
124 epidemic is unclear. Our analysis is only a preliminary step and further analyses and models are  
125 urgently required to evaluate the impact of quarantine and other intervention.

126



127

128 Fig.1 Spatial diffusion of 2019-nCoV in China. (A) Cumulative number of cities reporting disease.  
 129 Arrival days, defined as the time interval (days) from the date of the first case in the first infected  
 130 city to the date of the first case in each newly infected city, to characterize the inter-city  
 131 transmission rate of 2019-nCoV and 2009-H1N1pdm, respectively. Dashed line shows the date of  
 132 Wuhan shutdown. (B) Before (blue) and after (red) the intervention. The plotted line reports the  
 133 fitted values from a regression of predicted arrival time (solid line, with shutdown of Wuhan in red  
 134 and without in blue). Each observation (point) represents one city. Error bars give  $\pm 2$  standard  
 135 deviations. Dashed line shows the date of Wuhan shutdown. (C) Map of arrival time delayed by  
 136 the shutdown of Wuhan. Colors represent the change in arrival time (days) after 23 January, 2020.  
 137 The arrival time is estimated using the data before the shutdown of Wuhan. (D) Human movement  
 138 outflows from Wuhan city to other cities in 2018. The warmer and thick lines denote higher  
 139 volume of outflows (high-connectivity) while the cool and thin lines denote a lower volume of  
 140 outflows (low-connectivity).

141

142 **Table 1. Estimating the impact of the Wuhan travel ban on 2019-nCoV dissemination**

Covariates	Coefficient	95% CI	P
(Intercept)	25.95	(23.43, 28.48)	<0.001
Longitude	-0.03	(-0.05, -0.01)	0.003
Latitude	0.03	(0.01, 0.06)	0.014
log <sub>10</sub> .Population	-0.70	(-1.12, -0.28)	0.001
log <sub>10</sub> .Total flow	-0.12	(-0.22, -0.02)	0.024
Shutdown intervention (days)	2.91	(2.54, 3.29)	<0.001

143  $R^2/R^2$  adjusted, 0.59 /0.58

144 **Materials and Methods**

145

146 *Epidemiological and demographic data*

147 We collected raw data from the daily official reports of the health commission of 34  
148 provincial-level administrative units and 341 city-level units. Only laboratory-confirmed cases  
149 were used. We constructed a real-time database recording the date of the first reported case in all  
150 newly-infected cities with daily updates from 31 December 2019 to 28 January 2020. Population  
151 sizes for each city were collected from the China City Statistical Yearbook  
152 (<http://olap.epsnet.com.cn/>). The population sizes recorded for 2018 were used. We calculated the  
153 distance between Wuhan and each city reporting 2019-nCoV cases. The location of each city is  
154 geocoded by the latitude and longitude coordinates of the city center and the Euclidean distance  
155 between the two cities we calculated.

156

157 *Human mobility data*

158 Human movement can be observed directly from mobile phone data, through the location-based  
159 services (LBS) employed by popular Tencent applications, such as WeChat and QQ. Average  
160 movement outflows from Wuhan City to other cities, by air, train, and road, were calculated from  
161 the migration flows database (<https://heat.qq.com/>) over the entire 2018.

162

163 *Statistical model*

164 The association between distance, human movement, interventions and epidemic timing of  
165 2019-nCoV was assessed with a regression analysis using a General Linear Model framework  
166 (GLM) with Gaussian family. The best model that emerged from this analysis:

167

$$168 \quad Y_j = \alpha + \beta_1 \log_{10}(TotalFlow_j) + \beta_2 \log_{10}(Pop_j) + \beta_3 Lon_j + \beta_4 Lat_j + \beta_5 Shutdown_j \quad [1]$$

169

170 where  $TotalFlow_j$  represents the average passenger volume from Wuhan City to city  $j$  by airplane,  
171 train, and road.  $Pop_j$  is the population in city  $j$ .  $Lat_j$  and  $Lon_j$  represent *latitude* and *longitude* of  
172 city  $j$ . The dumb variable  $shutdown_j$  is used to identify whether the arrival time of the newly  
173 infected city  $j$  is influenced by the shutdown of Wuhan, where 0 represents no-intervention and 1  
174 represents intervention. The dependent variable  $Y_j$  is the arrival time of epidemic in city  $j$ , which  
175 measures the spatial spread of 2019-nCoV.  $\beta_i$  denote the regression coefficients.

176

177 **Acknowledgements**

178 We thank the many thousands of CDC staff and local health workers in China who collected data  
179 and continue to strive to contain 2019-nCoV in China and elsewhere. Funding for this study was  
180 provided by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (JQ18025); Beijing Advanced Innovation  
181 Program for Land Surface Science; National Natural Science Foundation of China (81673234);  
182 Young Elite Scientist Sponsorship Program by CAST (YESS)(2018QNRC001); HT and OGP  
183 acknowledge support from the Oxford Martin School. The funders had no role in study design,  
184 data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

185

186

187 **References**

- 188 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in  
189 China, 2019. *New Eng J Med* 2020:DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017.
- 190 2. Cai J, Xu B, Chan KKY, et al. Roles of Different Transport Modes in the Spatial Spread of  
191 the 2009 Influenza A (H1N1) Pandemic in Mainland China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*  
192 2019;16:222.
- 193 3. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health  
194 concern. *Lancet* 2020:DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30185-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9).
- 195 4. Chan JFW, Yuan S, Kok KH, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the  
196 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster.  
197 *Lancet* 2020:DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9).
- 198 5. Heymann DL. Data sharing and outbreaks: best practice exemplified. *Lancet*  
199 2020:DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30184-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30184-7).
- 200